

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF INDIANA

SCOTT KELLY HANSEN,	)	
	)	
Plaintiff,	)	
	)	
vs.	)	2:12-CV-15-JMS-WGH
	)	
WARDEN CHARLES L. LOCKETT,	)	
	)	
Defendant.	)	

ENTRY

I.


The plaintiff's supplemental request to proceed *in forma pauperis* (dkt 14) is **granted**. The assessment of even an initial partial filing fee is not feasible at this time.

II.

The amended complaint [8] is now subject to the screening requirement of 28 U.S.C. § 1915A(b). *Lagerstrom v. Kingston*, 463 F.3d 621, 624 (7th Cir. 2006). This statute directs that the court dismiss a complaint or any claim within a complaint which "(1) is frivolous, malicious, or fails to state a claim upon which relief may be granted; or (2) seeks monetary relief from a defendant who is immune from such relief." *Id.* The court will direct the further development of any claim which is not dismissed on this basis. The parties will be notified when this determination has been made.

**IT IS SO ORDERED.**

Date: 03/23/2012

  
Hon. Jane Magnus-Stinson, Judge  
United States District Court  
Southern District of Indiana

**Distribution:**

**Scott Kelly Hansen  
No. 40835-060  
Terre Haute USP  
Inmate Mail/Parcels  
P.O. Box 33  
Terre Haute, IN 47808**